

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/716,691	GIRALDIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel A. Hess	2876	

All Participants:

(1) Daniel A. Hess.

(2) Bernard Kleinke.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 8 June 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1, 7, 13

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The term 'locations of the members being widely dispersed' was considered unclear in scope, because the term 'widely dispersed' is a relative term. This clause containing this phrase will therefore be removed from each independent claim.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)